



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,701	03/07/2002	Tsuneo Maki	2271/66868	2539

7590 08/12/2003

RICHARD F. JAWORSKI  
Cooper & Dunham LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036

EXAMINER

HUFFMAN, JULIAN D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2853

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/092,701

Applicant(s)

MAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Julian D. Huffman

Art Unit

2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15-19, 32, 34, 36, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55 and 57 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 20-23, 27-31, 33, 35, 37, 40-42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 52, 56, 58-62 and 66-70 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 14, 24-26, 38, 39, 54, 63-65, 71 and 72 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 March 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, 20-23, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33, 35, 37, 40-42, 50, 52, 56, 58-62, 66, 67, 69 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen et al. (U.S. 6,179,419) in view of Bannai et al.

Rasmussen et al. disclose an ink jet recording device with a recording-medium conveying device comprising:

a recording head (12) mounted on a carriage (column 1, lines 24-27) in an image recording part so as to record an image by jetting ink drops on a recording medium;

a recording-medium feeding device containing said recording medium, and feeding said recording medium one by one therefrom; and

a recording-medium conveying device including:

a conveying belt (32) wound around a driving roller (38) and a driven roller (40) so as to convey said recording medium to said image recording part;

a pressing roller (42/44) pressing said conveying belt against said driving roller by exerting an elastic force so as to prevent said conveying belt from slipping on said

Art Unit: 2853

driving roller, wherein said pressing roller is provided at a position downstream in a revolving direction of said driving roller (fig. 1);

wherein said conveying belt is formed of a timing belt with timing marks provided at a side not contacting the recording media (fig. 5, column 5, lines 20-39);

a conveyance distance detecting unit including a binary scale provided on a part of said conveying belt along the moving direction thereof, wherein one of a reflected light and a transmitted light from said binary scale is detected so as to control a revolving velocity and a stopping position of said driving roller (fig. 5, column 5, lines 20-39 and column 6, line 64-column 7, line 7);

an optical sensor provided opposite a part of said conveying belt downstream and near said driving roller (figs. 5 and 6) so as to detect one of said reflected light and said transmitted light (column 5, lines 31-33); and

a conveying-belt driving unit (33) driving said driving roller which is controlled according to detected conveyance speed and conveyance distance (column 6, line 64-column 7, line 7).

Rasmussen et al. do not disclose a belt charging system, or a sheet separating unit in the vicinity of the belt charging system.

Bannai et al. disclose a belt charging system (fig. 1, element 3) in contact with an insulating layer (fig. 9), provided opposite a driving roller at a position upstream in a revolving direction of said driving roller from a position at which said recording medium fed from said recording medium feeding device contacts said conveying belt, so as to charge said conveying belt with a positive charge and a negative charge alternately in a

Art Unit: 2853

moving direction of said conveying belt by applying an AC bias (5) to said conveying belt;

wherein said conveying belt is formed of two layers composed of said insulating layer (2a) formed at one side contacting said recording medium and a conductive layer (2b) formed at the other side not contacting said recording medium; and

wherein a driven roller is grounded (fig. 1);

wherein said belt charging unit applies said AC bias to said conveying belt while said conveying belt conveys said recording medium, and said belt charging unit stops applying said AC bias to said conveying belt while said conveying belt stops conveying said recording medium (the bias is only applied to the belt when it is rotating); and

wherein said belt charging unit applies said AC bias to said conveying belt while said conveying belt is continuously revolved, before said conveying belt conveys said recording medium (column 6, lines 44-46).

Bannai et al. also disclose a sheet separating unit (19) in the vicinity of the belt charging unit.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the belt charging system of Bannai et al., including the two layered belt, and the belt charging unit, and the sheet feeder and separator into the invention of Rasmussen et al. The reason for performing the modification would have been to provide a device capable of surely retaining and transporting a sheet member by a simple construction, while positioning the sheet member accurately

Art Unit: 2853

relative to a transporting means thereof (column 4, lines 55-58) and to provide a means to automatically feed multiple sheets without user invention.

3. Claims 4, 6, 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen et al. in view of Bannai et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 10, 12, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33, 35, 37, 40-42, 50, 52, 56, 58-62, 66, 67, 69 and 70 above, and further in view of Munakata (JP Application 10264047).

Rasmussen et al. as modified by Bannai et al. disclose everything claimed with the exception of providing urethane on the driving roller.

However, Munakata discloses this (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide urethane on the driving roller of Rasmussen et al. as modified by Bannai et al. The reason for performing the modification would have been to secure the driving force of the driving roller (abstract).

4. Claims 8, 29, 48 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rasmussen et al. in view of Bannai et al. and Matsuoka (U.S. 5,530,535).

As discussed above, Rasmussen et al. as modified by Bannai et al. disclose everything claimed with the exception of wherein at least one of said driving roller and said driven roller is a grip roller having a plurality of projections.

However, Matsuoka discloses providing projections on a driving roller and a driven roller which mate with perforations on the belt (column 6, lines 51-62 and fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the projections and perforations taught by Matsuoka into the invention of Rasmussen et al. as modified by Bannai et al. The reason for performing the modification would have been to prevent the driving roller from slipping relative to the endless belt or from idling (column 6, lines 56-59).

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's argument that the prior art does not disclose the separating roller is not persuasive. A separating roller is clearly shown in Bannai et al. in fig. 11 as element 19. Applicant's argument that Rasmussen et al. does not disclose a pressing roller pressing the conveying belt against the drive roller is not persuasive. Even though the roller may be a star roller, it presses the belt against the drive roller in the act of pressing the sheet against the drive roller.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15-19, 32, 34, 36, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55 and 57 are allowed.

7. Claims 14, 24-26, 38, 39, 54, 63-65, 71 and 72 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2853

8. The primary reason for the allowance of claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 32, 34, 36, 43, 45, 47, 55 and 57 is the inclusion of the limitation of the conveying belt being narrower than said recording medium. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 16-19 is the inclusion of the limitation of the driving roller being connected to ground. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art. In Bannai et al., the driven roller is connected to ground and the driving roller is not connected to ground.

With regards to claim 14, the prior art of record does not suggest or disclose the driving roller and driven roller having different diameters, as claimed in the combination.

With regards to claim 24 and 63, the prior art of record does not disclose a volume resistivity equal to or more than  $10^{12}$  Ohms cm. Bannai et al. disclose  $10^8$  Ohms cm.

With regards to claims 25, 26, 64 and 65 the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the conveying belt being formed narrower than the recording medium.

With regards to claims 38, 39, 71 and 72 the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the value being obtained by dividing a maximum resolution of an image to be recorded on said recording medium by  $n$ , where  $n$  is an integer larger than zero.



Art Unit: 2853

**Conclusion**

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (703) 308-6556. The examiner can generally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, can be reached at (703) 308-4896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722. Faxes requiring the immediate attention of the examiner may be sent directly to the examiner at (703) 746-4386. Note that this number will not automatically send a confirmation that the fax was received.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



JH

5 August 2003



JUDY NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER